RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 20 09	ISEP -2 PM 4: 55 999 E	CTION COMMISSION Street, N.W.		
3		ton, D.C. 20463		
4 5 6	CELA FIRST GENERAL	COUNSEL'S REPORT		
7 8 9 10 11		MUR: 6187 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: 05/01/09 DATE OF NOTIFICATION: 5/07/09 LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: 5/29/09 DATE ACTIVATED: 6/10/09		
13 14 15		STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS: prospective complaint		
16 17 18	COMPLAINANT:	Brian Melendez/Minnesota Democratic Farmer Labor Party		
19 20 21 22	RESPONDENTS:	Coleman for Senate '08 and Rodney Axtell, in his official capacity as treasurer Norm Coleman		
23 24 25	RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 439a 11 C.F.R. § 113.1(g)(1)		
26 27	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports		
28 29	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None		
30	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>			
31 32	The Complaint alleges that former	r Minnesota U.S. Senator Norm Coleman and		
33	his principal campaign committee, Coleman for Senate '08 and Rodney Axtell, in his			
34	official capacity as treasurer, ("the Committee") are improperly using campaign funds			
35	for personal use to pay for Coleman's legal fees stemming from a civil suit in Texas the			
36	alleges that financier Nasser Kazeminy funneled gifts totaling \$75,000 to Coleman			
37	through Kazeminy's company and the employer of Coleman's wife ("the Kazeminy			
38	lawsuit").			

1	In response, Norm Coleman asserted that the Commission should dismiss the				
2	complaint because, contrary to the allegations, he and his Committee had, at the time of				
3	the Response, not yet paid any of the legal fees arising from the need to monitor and				
4	respond to the Kazeminy lawsuit. Coleman emphasized that he was seeking an Advisor				
5	Opinion from the Commission as to whether he and his Committee could spend				
6	campaign funds on these legal fees before paying any of the fees with campaign funds.				
7	On June 25, 2009, the Commission issued Advisory Opinion 2009-12 (Coleman),				
8	permitting Coleman and the Committee to use campaign funds for the purposes				
9	requested. Following this Advisory Opinion, on July 13, 2009, in MUR 6154 involving				
10	the same allegation as in the present matter, the Commission found no reason to believe				
11	that Norm Coleman and the Committee violated the personal use prohibition.				
12	Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that				
13	Norm Coleman, Coleman for Senate '08, and Rodney Axtell, in his official capacity as				
14	treasurer, converted campaign funds to personal use in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)				
15	and close the file.				
16 17	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
18	A. Factual Background				
19 20	On October 30, 2008, Paul McKim, the founder and CEO of Deep Marine				
21	Technologies, Inc. ("DMT"), filed a lawsuit in Harris County, Texas, alleging, among				
22	other things, that Nasser Kazeminy, an investor in DMT, had created a false consulting				
23	agreement with Hays Insurance, a Minnesota insurance brokerage, in order to funnel				
24	money to Senator Norm Coleman through his wife Laurie Coleman, a Hays employee.				
25	See the Kazeminy lawsuit Original Petition, Complaint Attachment A. The Kazeminy				

23

1	lawsuit claims that Kazeminy told McKim and DMT's CFO that he wanted to "find a
2	way to get money to United States Senator Norm Coleman of Minnesota and wanted to
3	utilize DMT in the process," and that Kazeminy informed McKim that he "would make
4	sure there was paperwork to make it appear as though the payments were made in
5	connection with legitimate transactions the payments could be made to Hays for
6	insurance." Id. at 10-11. The Kazeminy lawsuit alleges that Kazeminy arranged for
7	Hays to draft a consulting agreement with DMT and coerced McKim into making three
8	of four planned \$25,000 payments to Hays, ostensibly in payment for services rendered,
9	but McKim asserts that Hays performed no services for DMT and was not licensed to
10	broker insurance in Texas. Id. at 11-12. A related shareholder's derivative suit was filed
11	in the Delaware Chancery Court on November 3, 2008, making similar allegations.
12	Coleman and his wife are not defendants in either lawsuit, but Coleman has issued press
13	releases to address the allegations, and he has hired attorneys to monitor the cases and to
14	prepare to respond.
15	Coleman's campaign asserted to the media that the allegations in the Kazeminy
16	lawsuit were baseless and politically motivated. Coleman's campaign manager Luke
17	Friedrich reportedly stated that "[w]e intend to have any legal fees related to what we
18	believe to be a politically inspired legal action to be covered by the senator's
19	campaign We will be seeking the necessary approvals at the proper time to ensure that
20	this is done in strict accordance with all appropriate laws and rules." Tony Kennedy and
21	Paul McEnroe, "Coleman Will Use Campaign Funds to Pay Legal Fees," Minneapolis
22	Star Tribune, first published December 18, 2008, Complaint Attachment E.

Coleman represented in his response dated May 29, 2009, that no campaign funds

- 1 had been spent on the legal fees related to the Kazeminy lawsuit, but noted his Advisory
- 2 Opinion Request to the Commission seeking guidance as to whether he could spend
- 3 campaign funds on the legal fees at issue. Response at 1. On June 25, 2009, the
- 4 Commission rendered a response in AO 2009-12 (Coleman) approving the Committee's
- 5 use of campaign funds to pay the legal fees in question in this matter. Coleman
- 6 represented in AOR 2009-12 that he had hired the firm of Kelley & Wolter, a
- 7 Minneapolis law firm, to represent him in the Kazeminy lawsuit, and that the firm had
- 8 not yet been paid. See AOR at 1, fn. 1. The Committee's disclosure reports to the
- 9 Commission covering the period through June 30, 2009, disclosed no disbursements to
- 10 Kelley & Wolter.

11 B. Legal Analysis

- The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act") provides
 that contributions accepted by a candidate may be used by the candidate for ordinary and
- 14 necessary expenses incurred in connection with duties of the individual as a Federal
- office holder. 2 U.S.C. § 439a(a)(2). Such campaign funds, however, shall not be
- converted to "personal use" by any person. 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)(1).
- In response to the Complaint's allegation that Coleman's use of campaign funds
- 18 for legal fees would constitute personal use and thus violate the Act, the response
- 19 emphasized that no campaign funds had been expended to pay for the legal services
- 20 referenced in the complaint, and that Coleman and the Committee were "seeking"
- 21 confirmation that his principal campaign committee may pay for the costs outlined in the
- 22 request." Response at 1; see also AOR 2009-12 (Coleman). The Committee's disclosure
- 23 reports confirm that no campaign funds were so spent prior to the June 25, 2009, issuance

1	of AO 2009-12 in which the Commission concluded that Coleman may use campaign					
2	funds for the legal fees referenced in the complaint. Therefore, there has been no					
3	conversion of campaign funds to personal use in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)(1). See					
4	MUR 6154 (Coleman) Factual and Legal Analysis.					
5		Based	on the foregoing, we recommend the	at the Commission find no reason to		
6	believe that Norm Coleman, Coleman for Senate '08, and Rodney A. Axtell, in his					
7	official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b) by converting campaign funds					
8	to personal use, and close the file.					
9	III.	REC	OMMENDATIONS			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	al	1. 2. 3. 4.	Find no reason to believe that Normand Rodney A. Axtell, in his official 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b). Approve the attached Factual and I Approve the appropriate letters. Close the file.			
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Date	2,0	BY:	Stephen Gura Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement Mark Allen Assistant General Counsel		





